

Student name:		CRSID:		Module number:	
---------------	--	--------	--	----------------	--

Feedback to the student

See also comments in the text

Very good	Good	Needs improvmt
-----------	-------------	----------------

C O N T E N T	<p>Completeness, quantity of content: Has the report covered all aspects of the lab? Has the analysis been carried out thoroughly?</p>			
	<p>Correctness, quality of content Is the data correct? Is the analysis of the data correct? Are the conclusions correct?</p>			
	<p>Depth of understanding, quality of discussion Does the report show a good technical understanding? Have all the relevant conclusions been drawn?</p>			
	Comments			
P R E S E N T A T I O N	<p>Attention to detail, typesetting and typographical errors Is the report free of typographical errors? Are the figures/tables/references presented professionally?</p>			
	Comments:			

Preparation mark	
Raw report mark	/ 4
Total mark	/ 5
Penalty for lateness	

Consult lab handout regarding the particular requirements to collect the preparation mark.

The weighting of comments is not intended to be equal, and the relative importance of criteria may vary between modules. A good report should attract 3 marks.

*1 mark / week or part week.
Please refer to the [online](#) information regarding our extension policy.*

Marker:

Date: