

Student name:		CRSID:		Module number:	
---------------	--	--------	--	----------------	--

Feedback to the student

See also comments in the text

Very good	Good	Needs improvmt
-----------	-------------	----------------

C O N T E N T	<p>Completeness, quantity of content: Has the report covered all aspects of the lab? Has the analysis been carried out thoroughly?</p>			
	<p>Correctness, quality of content Is the data correct? Is the analysis of the data correct? Are the conclusions correct?</p>			
	<p>Depth of understanding, quality of discussion Does the report show a good technical understanding? Have all the relevant conclusions been drawn?</p>			
	Comments:			
P R E S E N T A T I O N	<p>Structure, organisation of content Has the report content been structured clearly and in a logical order?</p>			
	<p>Attention to detail, typesetting and typographical errors Is the report free of typographical errors? Are the figures/tables/references presented professionally?</p>			
	Comments:			

Raw report mark	/ 10
Penalty for lateness	

The weighting of comments is not intended to be equal, and the relative importance of criteria may vary between modules. A good report should attract about 7 marks.

*2 marks / week or part week.
Please refer to the [online](#) information regarding our extension policy.*

Marker:

Date: